

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/667,777	09/22/2000	Mitsuaki Komino	08038.0044	1267		
22852 7590 08/28/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			ZERVIGO	ZERVIGON, RUDY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1763			
				· · · ·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/28/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/667,777	KOMINO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rudy Zervigon	1763		

<u> </u>	EXAMINIO.	Air Oille	1		
	Rudy Zervigon	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	in the Coulomb of the coulomb	daharra da lakar da		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>36,38-40 and 42-44</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	ot he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.		
11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No/s)	/:///	ヒノ		
12. Note the attached information disclosure statement(s).	(1 10/05/00) Faper 140(s)	c m	P/_		
10. L. Other	`		M		
		Rudy Zervigon Primary Examiner			
		CHILICAL V. L. ACHILIDICE			

Art Unit: 1763

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: None of the pending claims are amended to overcome the Examiner's proposed rejections. The Examiner reconsidered his grounds of rejection and believes they should be sustained as supported by the prior art as cited by the Examiner.